	Case 4:07-cv-05194-CW	Document 62	Filed 06/05/2008	Page 1 of 7	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCK Michael J. Lyons (State Bar No Andrew J. Wu (State Bar No Ryan L. Scher (State Bar. No 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 7 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122 Tel: 650.843.4000 Fax: 650.843.4001 mlyons@morganlewis.com awu@morganlewis.com rscher@morganlewis.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCK Daniel Johnson, Jr. (State Bar No One Market, Spear Street Toy San Francisco, CA 94105-11 Tel: 415.442.1000 Fax: 415.442.1001 djjohnson@morganlewis.com aspicer@morganlewis.com	No. 202284) . 214442) . 244706) 700 XIUS LLP r No. 57409) b. 188399) wer 126			
13 14	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING COMPANY, LLC				
15		UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
16	NO	ORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNI	A	
17		OAKLAN	D DIVISION		
18	EPISTAR CORPORATION,		Case No. C-07-5194	CW	
19	Plainti	ff,		F RYAN SCHER IN	
20	v.		SUPPORT OF PHIL LIGHTING COMP FOR SUMMARY J	ANY, LLC's MOTION	
21	PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHT COMPANY, LLC,	TING		RATION'S CLAIM FOR	
22	Defend	lant.		, 11, 12, 14-16, and 18-21	
23			SUBMITTED UND	ER SEAL	
24	AND RELATED COUNTER	RCLAIMS	Date: July 10, 2008 Time: 2:00 p.m.		
25			Location: Courtroom Judge: The Honorab		
26 27					
28 MORGAN, LEWIS &					
BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW NEW YORK	1-WA/2983879.1				

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NEW YORK

	I,	Ryan	Scher,	hereby	declare	as follows
--	----	------	--------	--------	---------	------------

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed and admitted to practice before this Court and an associate at the law firm of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. I am one of the attorneys for defendant and counterclaimant Philips Lumileds Lighting Company, LLC ("Lumileds"), in the present action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could testify competently thereto. I make this declaration in support of Lumileds' Motion For Summary Judgment On Epistar Corporation's Claim For Breach Of Contract.
- 2. Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Settlement Agreement entered into by Lumileds Lighting, U.S. LLC (now Philips Lumileds Lighting Co., LLC) and Epistar Corporation ("Epistar") on or about June 20, 3004, to settle the lawsuit *Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC v. Epistar Corp.*, Nos. C 02-5077 and C 03-1130 CW (PVT) (N.D. Cal.). *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.*
- 3. Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Epistar Corporation's Prehearing Brief, which it filed on July 14, 2006, in the U.S. International Trade Commission's ("ITC's" or "Commission's") investigation *In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same*, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556. *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order*.
- 4. Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the Corrected Prehearing Statement of Complainant Philips Lumileds Lighting Company, LLC, which it filed on July 17, 2006, in the ITC's investigation *In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same*, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556. *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order*.
- 5. Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the August 7, 2006, transcript of the evidentiary hearing in the ITC's investigation *In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness*Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556.
- 6. Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of an exchange of e-mails between counsel for Epistar (Yitai Hu, Esq.) and counsel for Lumileds (Daniel J. Johnson, Esq.) from August 6-7,

1

5

6

10

8

15

13

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

1-WA/2983879.1

Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW NEW YORK

2006, in the ITC's investigation In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556. The redacted portion contains privileged and confidential information exchanged among attorneys for Lumileds.

- 7. Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Epistar Corporation's Seventh Supplemental Responses To Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC's First Set Of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-15), which it served on March 23, 2004, in the action Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC v. Epistar Corporation, Case No. 02-5077 CW (EAI) (N.D. Cal.). This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 8. Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Respondent Epistar Corporation's Fourth Supplemental Responses To Complainant Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC's First Set Of Interrogatories, which it served on May 9, 2006, in the ITC's investigation In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556. This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 9. Exhibit 8 is a summary table prepared by counsel for Philips Lumileds, entitled "Comparison Of The Composition And Thickness Of The Layers In The 2004 OMA LEDs And The 2006 OMA I And II LEDs," based on Epistar's disclosures in Exhibits 6 and 7, supra. This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 10. Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the March 27, 2006, deposition testimony of Mr. C.C. Lu in the ITC's investigation In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556, which was admitted as Complainant's Exhibit CX 605C in that investigation. *This exhibit* contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 11. Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of Complainant Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC's First Notice of Deposition to Respondent Epistar Corporation (Topic Nos. 1-13), which it served on February 13, 2006, in the ITC's investigation In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556.
  - 12. Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the March 28, 2006,

8

6

11

12

14

15

13

16 17

19

20

21

18

22

23 24

25

26 27

Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW NEW YORK

confidential information, subject to protective order.					
which was admitted as Complainant's Exhibit 606C in that investigation. This ex	hibit contains				
Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No	o. 337-TA-556				
deposition testimony of Mr. C.C. Lu in the ITC's investigation <i>in the Matter of Ce</i>	ertain High-				

- Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the June 28, 2006, 13. deposition of Gerald B. Stringfellow, in the ITC's investigation In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556, which was admitted as Complainant's Exhibit 609C in that investigation. This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 14. Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the September 9, 2003, Transcript of Proceedings Before the Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull, Chief United States Magistrate Judge, in the action Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC v. Epistar Corp., Case No. 02-5077 CW (EAI) (N.D. Cal.).
- 15. Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Lumileds Lighting U.S. LLC's Notice Of Motion And Motion To Compel Discovery From Epistar Corporation [Replacement], which it filed on March 10, 2004, in the action Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC v. Epistar Corp., Case No. C 02-5077 CW (PVT) (N.D. Cal.). This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 16. Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Epistar Corporation's Opposition To Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC's Motion To Compel Discovery, which it filed on March 16, 2004, in Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC v. Epistar Corp., Case No. C 02-5077 CW (PVT) (N.D. Cal). This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- 17. Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC's Reply In Support Of Its Motion To Compel Discovery From Epistar Corporation, which it filed on March 23, 2004, in the action Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC v. Epistar Corp., Case No. C 02-5077 CW (PVT). This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.
- Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of the Notice Of Commission Determination 18. To Reverse-In-Part And Modify-In-Part A Final Initial Determination Finding A Violation Of

- 19. Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the Order Granting Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment In Part And Denying Plaintiff's Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment, which was entered on June 14, 2001, in the consolidated action *United Epitaxy Company, Ltd. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, No. C 00-2518 CW (N.D. Cal.). *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.*
- 20. Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of Epistar's demonstrative exhibits RDX 500 (depicting Epistar's OMA I) and RDX 501 (depicting Epistar's OMA II), which were admitted into evidence in the ITC's investigation *In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same*, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556. *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order*.
- 21. Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of Deposition Exhibit 15 from the January 29, 2004, Deposition of Mr. M.J. Jou in the action *Lumileds Lighting U.S. LLC v. Epistar Corporation*, Case No. C-02-05077 CW (N.D. Cal.), which was admitted into evidence as CX 427C in ITC's investigation *In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same*, USITC Inv. No. 337-TA-556. *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order*.
- 22. Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the January 29, 2004, 30(b)(6) Deposition Of Ming-Jiunn Jou, which was taken in the action *Lumileds Lighting U.S. LLC v. Epistar Corporation*, Case No. C-02-05077 CW (N.D. Cal.). *This exhibit contains confidential information, subject to protective order.* 
  - 23. Exhibit 22 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,008,718.

25 /// /// ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

26 /// /// ///

/// /// ///

/// /// ///

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NEW YORK

	Case 4:07-cv-05194-CW Docum	nent 62	Filed 06/05/2008	Page 6 of 7		
1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is					
2	true and correct.					
3	Executed on this 5th day of Ju	ne, 2008	, at Palo Alto, California	a.		
4		R	espectfully submitted,			
5						
6			/s/ Ryan L. Scher			
7		$\mathbf{N}$	yan L. Scher (Bar No. 2 IORGAN, LEWIS & B	244706) OCKIUS LLP		
8		30	Palo Alto Square 000 El Camino Real, Su	nite 700		
9		T	alo Alto, CA 94306-21 elephone: (650) 843-40	000		
10		F	acsimile: (650) 843-40	001		
11		A P	ttorneys for Defendant HILIPS LUMILEDS LI	and Counterclaimant IGHTING COMPANY,		
12		L	LC			
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28 EWIS & LLP T LAW	1-WA/2983879.1		- 5 -			

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NEW YORK

## ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

I, Michael J. Lyons, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration of Ryan L. Scher In Support of Philips Lumileds' Motion for Summary Judgment. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B., I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that Ryan L. Scher has concurred in this filing.

Executed this 5th day of June 2008, at Palo Alto, California.

/s/ Michael J. Lyons

27

28
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NEW YORK